



The IABM and [ECOMET](#)

A position paper prepared by Board of Directors

The world of Meteorology encompasses many different activities; observing, forecasting, research, climatology. For the great majority of the ordinary people, though, the world of Meteorology means only one thing; the weather broadcast on radio and television. The weather broadcast is the main point of contact between meteorology and the outside world. How the populace - and those who are the decision-makers in society - view our science will be greatly influenced by what they see on the small screen and hear on their car radios. It is important for the overall good of meteorology - for the sake of the public - that weather broadcasting be conducted to the highest possible standards. Anything less will reflect poorly on the chosen field of endeavour.

What makes a good weather broadcast? Opinions, of course, will vary from country to country, from culture to culture, and even from person to person. Weather broadcasting is essentially about the transmission of information - information which can be detailed and complex at times; information which contributes to the efficient and economic conduct of life. It is information that is needed for the protection of life and property when the elements turn really nasty. Good weather broadcasting, then, is built on two foundations; quality of information and quality of presentation.

Historically, the problems of weather forecasting have revolved around the efficient collection of vast amounts of data; the organisation of this data into a coherent synoptic view of the atmosphere; the preparation of maps and charts showing the likely evolution of the atmosphere over time, and then the interpretation of this evolution into the expected weather at a specific location.

The weather broadcaster needs to be able to talk about the weather with authority and credibility. To do this, they need to involve themselves in all the steps of weather forecasting, but they do need an understanding of why the weather is evolving in a particular direction. They need this both to explain properly the upcoming changes in the weather (and cannot explain what one does not understand) and also to correctly interpret the developing weather, as seen in observations and in radar and satellite imagery, in the context of the overall forecast.

Only by having weather broadcasters who are meteorologically trained and who have access to a continuous stream of weather observational data can the highest levels of weather broadcasting be achieved. Broadcasts which are inaccurate, or which are simply out of date, reflect badly upon the meteorological community as a whole.

It is the belief of the IABM that current ECOMET pricing structures militate against good weather broadcasting and against the long-term interests of European meteorology in general and European NMHS's in particular.

Before explaining why we hold this belief, we would like to make a few general points. We do not have a difficult concept that some income should flow from broadcasting to support the meteorological infrastructure. We are aware that developments within broadcasting - specifically the development of digital broadcasting and the proliferation of television channels - is putting enormous pressure on broadcasters to produce more content for less revenue and that broadcast content are being driven relentlessly down.

We would like also to emphasise that we do not see this as being primarily a public versus private sector issue. Many NMHS's in Europe which are active in the field of broadcasting. Most, if not all, of them want to retain a share of this market, but this will only be possible if they can produce a keenly-priced package for their broadcasters. Current pricing structures make this very difficult.

If a broadcaster wishes to produce a weather forecast of high quality, the first thing they will need to do is to employ the services of a meteorologist or an experienced forecaster. They may employ this person directly, or they may make a secondment arrangement with an NMHS or a private sector weather supplier. This person may go on screen and present the forecast, or may work in the background briefing, and preparing material for, a non-met presenter.

The key point here is that there is a substantial cost to the broadcaster in employing someone with this skill and experience. Contrary to popular belief, presenters chosen purely on the basis of their appearance or presentation skills are frequently not well paid. There is a large supply of such persons, and limited demand. They tend to be young and dependant and therefore willing to work for lower pay than an experienced professional. A broadcaster who e

ECOMET Position Paper

experienced meteorologist is already making a significant investment in quality.

A meteorologist or forecaster, however experienced, cannot work without information; the broadcaster now must invest and acquire a stream of weather data with which the meteorologist can work. It is the cost in Europe which is the nub of the problem. The pricing structures of [ECOMET](#) put a high value on raw data and a (relatively) low value on end products. The broadcaster who wishes to invest resources in quality weather broadcasting is penalised by these pricing arrangements. It is simply cheaper for a broadcaster to buy in a forecast product and use it as eye candy to present it. With the pressure on content costs this will soon be the only affordable option for European broadcasters. Authoritative weather broadcasting will simply disappear from European television screens.

There is another aspect of this situation that deserves consideration. The free availability of weather data in Europe has spawned a vigorous private sector dedicated to the provision of weather forecast packages for broadcasters. This is a mature market, with strong and well-resourced companies. These companies have been handicapped by [ECOMET](#) in that they can supply turn-key packages of graphics and model data to European broadcasters at a substantial discount to the costs that must be charged by those who base their services on European products. To compete, European weather companies are now integrating US data into their packages and services. We may reach a stage where the output from ECMWF, HirLam, Arpège, UKLam, Aladin etc simply disappears from the television broadcast, to be replaced by products based on the AVN or the MRF.

We in the IABM are aware that many European NMHS's have, in recent years, been forced to put considerable resources into finding creative ways "around" the [ECOMET](#) regulations in order to continue to supply weather information to broadcasters. That this should happen is in itself an indication that there are severe problems in this area. One reason that NMHS's want to retain broadcast clients is that it provides them with visibility to the public and decision makers. Indeed such visibility through broadcasting is strongly encouraged through WMO as a capacity-building exercise for all NMHS's. It is curious, however, that no monetary value is put on this exposure when contracts between broadcasters and NMHS's (or private-sector companies) are being worked out. If it is important to NMHS's to have visibility, then a value needs to be put on this exposure, and this amount explicitly accounted for in contracts with broadcasters.

The IABM understands that [ECOMET](#) was established out of the necessity to regulate the European market for weather data and the relationships between European Met Services, and to bring these into line with EU competition law. The effects of [ECOMET](#) rules and pricing structures on broadcasting have been to create many difficulties for NMHS's and broadcasters in Europe, who are being forced to look to other suppliers for their weather data. This situation is worsening as broadcasters grapple with the need to produce ever more content with ever more limited resources.

The IABM strongly supports the public service role of NMHS's, its members want to work with NMHS's to help them provide forecast and warnings services to the public. [ECOMET](#) policies have made this work more difficult, and have put pressure on long-established relationships that have existed between NMHS's and the media for many years. The IABM needs to re-evaluate its role in, and impact upon, broadcast meteorology. [ECOMET](#) needs to examine how it can support quality weather broadcasting in Europe, and it needs to do this now.

Gerald Fleming

26th November 2001